

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/672,954	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PODDAR, BIMAL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Eric V Woods	<b>Art Unit</b> 2672	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Eric V Woods.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Andrew Delizio (52806).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 April 2005
**Time:** 12 p.m. EST
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

4

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant's representative to correct an issue regarding dependency -- e.g. in order to put claims in condition for allowance, the limitations of claim 2 were incorporated into claim 1 and claim 4 was dependent upon claim 2, so permission was needed to correct this deficiency. Applicant's representative gave permission to make the change. .